UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA §

VS.

§ CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 2:12-CR-2-1

§

JACQUELINE VEGA-HERNANDEZ

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR A SENTENCE REDUCTION

Before the Court is Jacqueline Vega-Hernandez' (Vega's) motion for a sentence reduction pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K3.1 which addresses early disposition programs. (D.E. 42). She claims there is a disparity in sentencing created because some districts use a fast track program while others do not. For the reasons stated herein, the motion is DENIED.

BACKGROUND

Vega pled to guilty conspiracy to commit money laundering pursuant to a plea agreement. (D.E. 5, 27). She was sentenced to a below guideline sentence of 72 months imprisonment. D.E. 27.

ANALYSIS

Vega requests that her sentence be modified pursuant to the early disposition or fast track program established by the Attorney General of the United States. She claims that the sentencing disparities between fast track and non-fast track districts should cause the Court to consider a downward departure commensurate with what she likely would have received in a fast track district.

At the time of Vega's sentencing, the fast track program was applicable in the Corpus Christi Division of the Southern District of Texas, pursuant to the memo dated January 31,

Case 2:12-cr-00002 Document 43 Filed in TXSD on 07/25/14 Page 2 of 2

2012, by James M. Cole, Deputy Attorney General of the United States Department of Justice.

The memo did not remove prosecutorial discretion to deny fast track status to particular

defendants.

Furthermore, a federal court generally "may not modify a term of imprisonment once it

has been imposed." Dillon v. United States, 560 U.S. 817, 819 (2010). A district court may

resentence a defendant whose conviction has become final only pursuant to the circumstances

set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c). These circumstances are: (1) upon a motion for reduction by

the Director of the Bureau of Prisons, after review of the relevant statutory factors, if there are

"extraordinary and compelling factors" warranting such a reduction; (2) to the extent otherwise

expressly permitted by statute or Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure; and (3) if

the defendant was sentenced based on a sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered

by the Sentencing Commission and such a reduction would be consistent with the

Commission's policy statements. *United States v. Ross*, 557 F.3d 237, 238 (5th Cir. 2009); 18

U.S.C. § 3582(c).

Vega does not fit within the exceptions provided by § 3582(c). Therefore, this Court

has no authority to resentence her.

CONCLUSION

The Court DENIES Vega's motion for a sentence reduction (D.E. 42) pursuant to

U.S.S.G. § 5K3.1.

ORDERED this 25th day of July, 2014.

NELVA GONZALESORAMOS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2/2